NTRD Program Disclaimers

1. Disclaimer of Endorsement:

The posting herein of progress reports and final reports provided to TCEQ by its NTRD Grant Agreement recipients does not necessarily constitute or imply an endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by TCEQ or the State of Texas. The views and opinions expressed in said reports do not necessarily state or reflect those of TCEQ or the State of Texas, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

2. Disclaimer of Liability:

The posting herein of progress reports and final reports provided to TCEQ by its NTRD Grant Agreement recipients does not constitute by TCEQ or the State of Texas the making of any warranty, express or implied, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, and such entities do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE®

6220 CULEBRA RD. 78238-5166 • P.O. DRAWER 28510 78228-0510 • SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, USA • (210) 684-5111 • WWW.SWRI.ORG ENGINE, EMISSIONS, AND VEHICLE RESEARCH DIVISION

FAX: (210) 522-3950

| SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, USA • (210) 684-5111 • WWW.SWRI.ORG ISO 9001 Certified ISO 14001 Certified

March 23, 2005

TO: Power Research Inc.

6970 Portwest Drive, Suite 180

Houston, TX 77024

ATTN: Ms. Wanda E. Lewis

SUBJECT: Final Letter Report for SwRI Project No. 11211 under Proposal No. 03-41665, titled,

"Emissions Testing to Quantify Emission Reductions for Alternative Diesel Fuels"

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This final report is submitted to document emissions testing of a candidate fuel over a protocol specified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). The protocol is based on transient emission measurement procedures developed by the EPA for emissions regulatory purposes. The CARB protocol allows a 1991 Detroit Diesel Corporation (DDC) Series 60 engine to be used as the "test bench" for comparing exhaust emissions using a California reference fuel, "Fuel R," and a candidate fuel, "Fuel C." For this protocol, Power Research Incorporated (PRI) provided a type-2D diesel as Fuel R, and a PRI-treated 2D fuel as Fuel C. The CARB protocol requires fuel evaluations to be conducted using one of three alternative testing sequences, and this work used the RRR-CCC hot-start transient cycle testing sequence.

2.0 SCOPE OF WORK

Southwest Research Institute performed emission tests using a Type-2D fuel as Fuel R, which is understood to have been procured in Texas by PRI. The candidate fuel, Fuel C, consisted of Fuel R treated by PRI with PRI-D additive. Testing adhered to the CARB "Interim Procedure for Certification of Emission Reductions for Alternative Diesel Fuels," which was transformed into a test procedure for the purposes of this project. The "Alternative 3" CARB procedure was completed by running consecutive hot-start tests with the two fuels in an order of RRR, CCC, on Test Day 1, and then CCC, RRR on Test Day 2, and so on. The required 21 individual hot-start emission tests were completed with each fuel in seven test days. The average for individual regulated emissions from each triplicate series of hot-start tests (i.e., RRR or CCC) was considered a single emissions value for that fuel in statistically comparing fuel effects.

Emissions determined on each fuel included total hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_X), carbon dioxide (CO_2), and total particulate matter (PM). The original scope of work included analyzing gathered particulate samples to express the soluble organic fraction of the PM (SOF), sulfate (SO_4), the carbonyls (ALD), individual hydrocarbons (IHC), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). However, PRI halted planned work after the seventh test day, and directed SwRI to discard all of the samples to avoid expenses related to their handling and analyses.

Preparation of this report was funded by a grant to Power Research Inc from the State of Texas through the Texas Council on Environmental Technology and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



Work incorporated the procedure given in Table 2 for completing instrument and sample systems calibrations, changing fuels, determining engine performance, preparing and stabilizing emission measurement systems, and performing the multiple hot-start transient cycle testing work to obtain the needed exhaust samples.

TABLE 2. PROCEDURE FOR ACCUMULATING REGULATED EMISSIONS DATA USING ALTERNATIVE 3 OF THE CARB PROTOCOL

Step Description

- Perform emission instrument calibrations as required. Calibrate torquemeter and check signal conditioning systems. Validate CVS gaseous and particulate sampling systems using propane recovery techniques.
- 2 Check engine condition using in-house, low sulfur emissions type fuel, and note fault codes if any. Bring engine oil level to "full" using an approved lubricating oil.
- At the beginning of the first test day, perform fuel change procedure to operate on Fuel R. Change filter, purge fuel supply, etc.
- Warm up engine and operate at rated speed and load, then check performance.
- Conduct transient "full-throttle" torque map from low- to high-idle. Compute and store resulting transient command cycle. This initial transient command cycle with Fuel R will be used for all subsequent emission tests in this test plan. Other torque-map information generated with either Fuels R or C during this test work will be stored for documentation purposes.
- 6 Load dummy sample media and run two 20-minute practice or conditioning transient cycles without a 20-minute soak between cycles, and adjust dynamometer controls to meet statistical limits for transient cycle operation.
- During a 20-minute engine soak, load appropriate sampling media and set emission instruments to measure emissions. Run a hot-start transient test. Repeat the soak and run process until emission data for three hot-start transient cycles are accumulated. For each individual hot-start test, determine HC, CO, NO_X, PM, SO₄, and SOF. For ALD and IHC, accumulate a composite dilute exhaust sample and a background sample over all three runs by collecting a proportional sample of gases. For 1,3-butadiene, accumulate dilute exhaust and background samples over only the first hot-start test. As soon as practical, but no longer than one-hour after completing the first hot-start test, submit the dilute exhaust sample for analysis of 1,3-butadiene. On Day 1, load PAH sampling systems with dummy collection media for consistent operation of all sampling systems over the three runs. Distribute other samples as required for analysis.

Final Letter Report 03-11211 2 of 7

TABLE 2 (Cont'd). PROCEDURE FOR ACCUMULATING REGULATED EMISSIONS DATA USING ALTERNATIVE 3 OF THE CARB PROTOCOL

Step	Description
8	Repeat Steps 3 through 7 with Fuel C.
9	On Day 2 of testing, repeat Steps 4 through 7 with Fuel C, but use appropriate sample media for PAH collection.
10	After completing Step 9 and distributing samples, operate the CVS with engine off for 15 minutes. Load PAH collection media, and with engine off, accumulate a blank, B-2, by sampling for one hour with the CVS running. After completing this process, continue to the next fuel.
11	Repeat Steps 3 through 7 with Fuel R.
12	On Day 3 of testing, repeat Step 9 with Fuel R and perform Step 10 for B-3.
13	Repeat Steps 3 through 7 with Fuel C.
14	On Day 4 of testing, repeat Step 9 with Fuel C and perform Step 10 for B-4. For this blank set only, load collection media to accumulate blanks for IHC and ALD. As soon as practical, but no longer than one hour after completing blank sampling process, submit the tunnel blank sample for IHC for analysis of 1,3-butadiene, then on to other analyses.
15	Repeat Steps 3 through 7 with Fuel R.
16	On Day 5 of testing, repeat Steps 9 and 10 for B-5.
17	Repeat Steps 3 through 7 with Fuel C.
18	On Day 6 of testing, repeat Steps 9 and 10 for B-6.
19	Repeat Steps 3 through 7 with Fuel R.
20	On Day 7 of testing, repeat Steps 9 and 10 for B-7.
21	Summarize data and prepare the final report.
Note:	All analyses of SO ₄ , SOF, ALD, IHC, and PAH samples were canceled by PRI.

Table 3 illustrates the pattern of 42 hot-start transient runs made using Fuel R and Fuel C. On each of the 42 runs, HC, CO, NO_X, PM, SOF, SO₄, and CO₂ were determined. Hot-start transient emission tests were conducted as specified in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 86, Subpart N. Procedures for measurement of HC, CO, NO_X, CO₂, and PM are described in Subpart N.

TABLE 3. PATTERN OF RUNS FOR FUEL R AND FUEL C OVER SEVEN DAYS USING ALTERNATIVE 3

Test	Hot Start Transient												
Day	Fuel	Te	est Numb	er	Blank	Fuel	Test Number						
1	R	1	2	3	-	С	4	5	6				
2	С	7	8	9	B2	R	10	11	12				
3	R	13	14	15	В3	С	16	17	18				
4	С	19	20	21	B4 ^a	R	22	23	24				
5	R	25	26	27	В5	С	28	29	30				
6	С	31	32	33	В6	R	34	35	36				
7	R	37	38	39	В7	С	40	41	42				

^a One blank sample set included IHC and ALD tunnel blanks in addition to PAH tunnel blanks.

3.0 RESULTS OF EMISSIONS TESTING

All planned engine operations and emissions sampling was completed. However, despite collecting all samples, SwRI did not finish analyzing the numerous samples to quantify unregulated compounds. A work stoppage was issued by PRI immediately after they reviewed results shown in Table 4, as the regulated emissions information indicated PRI-treated fuel did not improve emissions or fuel economy. At that time, PRI opted to limit project expenditures, and directed SwRI to halt work related to post-test sample processing and analyses. Therefore, only the information on regulated emissions is presented in this report.

As specified in the CARB protocol, each triplicate set of hot-start tests gave a single data point per emission compound (or BSFC expression) on each fuel. The seven daily averages were then averaged to compare the base 2D fuel (Fuel R) effects to PRI-treated fuel (Fuel C) effects. Comparison of results over this seven-day protocol showed no significant difference or consistent trend in regulated emissions or fuel consumption.

Final Letter Report 03-11211 4 of 7

TABLE 4. TRANSIENT CYCLE EMISSION RESULTS FOR 1991 DDC SERIES 60 ENGINE USING A TYPE-2D FUEL AND 2D FUEL TREATED WITH PRI ADDITIVE

Test	Fuel Identity	FTP	SwRI Test	Hot-Start	Transie	nt Cycle E	missions	, g/hp-hr	BSFC,	Work,	hp-hr
Day		Run	Number	BSHC	BSCO	BSNO _X	BSPM	BSCO ₂	lb/hp-hr	Cycle	Ref.
	R	1	0210-H1	0.07	2.58	5.045	0.198	542	0.379	25.16	25.00
	R	2	0210-H2	0.05	2.54	4.982	0.197	533	0.372	25.31	25.00
	R	3	0210-H3	0.05	2.55	4.960	0.197	527	0.368	25.16	25.00
	R	Average		0.06	2.55	4.996	0.197	534	0.373	25.21	25.0
1	R	Coeffic	ient of Variation	13%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	na
	С	4	0210-C1	0.05	2.60	4.923	0.197	534	0.373	25.10	25.00
	С	5	0210-C2	0.05	2.62	4.983	0.200	527	0.368	25.14	25.00
	С	6	0210-C3	0.05	2.60	4.995	0.199	536	0.374	25.07	25.0
	С	Average		0.05	2.61	4.967	0.199	533	0.372	25.10	25.0
	С	Coeffic	ient of Variation	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	na
	С	7	0211C-H7	0.08	2.59	4.993	0.199	534	0.373	25.15	25.0
	С	8	0211C-H8	0.05	2.53	5.013	0.200	536	0.374	25.13	25.0
	С	9	0211C-H9	0.05	2.56	4.949	0.203	538	0.375	25.14	25.0
	С	Average		0.06	2.56	4.985	0.201	536	0.374	25.14	25.0
2	С	Coefficient of Variation		26%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	na
	R	10	0211R-H10	0.04	2.49	4.740	0.190	517	0.361	25.11	25.0
	R	11	0211R-H11	0.05	2.58	4.854	0.192	541	0.378	25.16	25.0
	R	12	0211R-H12	0.04	2.55	4.858	0.196	543	0.379	25.31	25.0
	R	Average		0.04	2.54	4.817	0.193	534	0.373	25.19	25.0
	R	Coefficient of Variation		12%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	0%	na
	R	13	0214R-H13	0.05	2.79	4.985	0.201	541	0.378	25.09	25.0
	R	14	0214R-H14	0.03	2.72	4.939	0.203	540	0.377	25.10	25.0
	R	15	0214R-H15	0.00	2.70	4.954	0.200	544	0.380	25.07	25.0
	R	Average		0.03	2.74	4.959	0.201	542	0.378	25.09	25.0
	R	Coeffic	ient of Variation	95%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	na
3	С	16	0214C-H16	0.04	2.57	4.883	0.199	528	0.368	25.06	25.0
	С	17	0214C-H17	0.03	2.64	4.894	0.200	535	0.373	25.11	25.0
	С	18	0214C-H18	0.04	2.66	4.849	0.198	536	0.374	25.11	25.0
	С	Average		0.03	2.62	4.875	0.199	533	0.372	25.09	25.0
	С	Coeffic	ient of Variation	14%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	na
	С	19	0215C-H19	0.03	2.68	4.957	0.208	541	0.377	25.06	25.0
	С	20	0215C-H20	0.07	2.73	4.958	0.205	556	0.388	25.17	25.0
	С	21	0215C-H21	0.03	2.70	4.963	0.208	541	0.378	25.08	25.0
	С	Average		0.04	2.71	4.959	0.207	546	0.381	25.10	25.0
4	С	Coefficient of Variation		54%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	na
	R	22	0215R-H22	0.01	2.66	4.829	0.201	536	0.374	25.10	25.0
	R	23	0215R-H23	0.03	2.63	4.798	0.206	531	0.371	25.07	25.0
	R	24	0215R-H24	0.03	2.66	4.862	0.203	534	0.373	25.02	25.0
	R	Average		0.02	2.65	4.830	0.203	534	0.373	25.06	25.0
	R	Coefficient of Variation		43%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	na

5 of 7

Coefficient of variation, or the ratio of standard devation to the mean.

COV

TABLE 4 (Cont'd). TRANSIENT CYCLE EMISSION RESULTS FOR 1991 DDC SERIES 60 ENGINE USING TYPE-2D FUEL AND 2D FUEL TREATED WITH PRI ADDITIVE

Test	Fuel Identity	FTP Run	SwRI Test Number	Hot-Start Transient Cycle Emissions, g/hp-hr					BSFC,	Work, hp-hr	
Day				BSHC	BSCO	BSNO _X	BSPM	BSCO ₂	lb/hp-hr	Cycle	Ref.
5	R	25	0216R-H26	0.05	2.66	4.971	0.207	552	0.385	25.08	25.00
	R	26	0216R-H27	0.06	2.61	4.996	0.204	563	0.393	25.12	25.00
	R	27	0216R-H28	0.06	2.66	4.932	0.204	544	0.380	25.08	25.00
	R		Average	0.06	2.64	4.966	0.205	553	0.386	25.09	25.00
	R	Coeffici	ent of Variation	7%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	na
	С	28	0216C-H29	0.06	2.70	4.802	0.204	535	0.373	25.29	25.00
	С	29	0216C-H30	0.04	2.68	4.887	0.201	550	0.384	25.17	25.00
	С	30	0216C-H31	0.05	2.63	4.884	0.196	534	0.373	25.24	25.00
	С	Average		0.05	2.67	4.858	0.200	539	0.377	25.23	25.00
	С	Coeffici	ent of Variation	16%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	na
	С	31	0217C-H32	0.05	2.46	4.713	0.193	519	0.362	25.18	25.00
	С	32	0217C-H33	0.04	2.59	4.936	0.209	539	0.376	25.20	25.00
	С	33	0217C-H34	0.03	2.58	4.961	0.211	539	0.376	25.13	25.00
	С		Average	0.04	2.54	4.870	0.204	532	0.371	25.17	25.00
6	С	Coeffici	ent of Variation	30%	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	0%	na
	R	34	R-H1	0.07	2.61	4.827	0.198	529	0.368	25.28	25.00
	R	35	R-H2	0.06	2.63	4.872	0.198	532	0.371	25.29	25.00
	R	36	R-H3	0.04	2.65	4.927	0.199	530	0.370	25.05	25.00
	R		Average	0.06	2.63	4.875	0.198	530	0.370	25.21	25.00
	R	Coefficient of Variation		21%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	na
	R	37	R-H1	0.02	2.59	5.006	0.200	534	0.373	25.28	25.00
	R	38	R-H2	0.03	2.62	4.991	0.204	545	0.380	25.27	25.00
	R	39	R-H3	0.00	2.58	5.021	0.203	542	0.378	25.30	25.00
	R		Average	0.02	2.60	5.006	0.202	540	0.377	25.28	25.00
7	R	Coeffici	ent of Variation	92%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	na
	С	40	C1-H1	0.07	2.67	4.877	0.210	544	0.380	25.11	25.00
	С	41	C1-H2	0.03	2.60	4.849	0.214	533	0.372	25.09	25.00
	С	42	C1-H3	0.01	2.54	4.796	0.206	531	0.370	25.31	25.00
	С		Average	0.04	2.60	4.841	0.210	536	0.374	25.17	25.00
C Coefficient of Variation			78%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	na	
Mean of Seven Averages for R			0.040	2.621	4.921	0.200	538	0.376	25.16	25.00	
cov			46%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	
Mean of Seven Averages for C			0.045	2.615	4.908	0.203	536	0.374	25.14	25.00	
			cov	20%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%
	rcent Chan	ge for	C vs. R	13.3%	-0.2%	-0.3%	1.4%	-0.3%	-0.3%	-0.1%	na

FTP Hot-Start Test over On-Highway U.S. FTP Transient Cycle, per CFR Title 40, Part 86, Subpart N (1200 seconds)

SwRI Inventory Code EM-5418-F

R С Candidate fuel prepared by mixing PRI-D in EM-5418-F, coded: EM-5423-F

COV Coefficient of variation, or the ratio of standard devation to the mean.

4.0 SUMMARY

SwRI has attempted to address the need for conducting a test program to obtain transient cycle emissions data from a 1991 DDC Series 60 engine using a reference fuel, Fuel R, and a candidate fuel, Fuel C. Emissions data were accumulated using procedures outlined in Table 2 to conduct emission tests and fuel changes, with 42 hot-start tests run in an RRR-CCC, seven-day CARB protocol. Comparing the resulting levels generated using base 2D fuel (Fuel R) and those generated using PRI-treated 2D base fuel (Fuel C), there was no significant difference in regulated emissions or fuel consumption rates.

This final report is intended to meet the needs of PRI and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for documenting this work. SwRI appreciates the opportunity to perform this study, and looks forward to meeting the future emissions research needs of PRI. As authorized by PRI, a copy of this report was sent by e-mail direct to the Grant Manager of TCEQ.

Prepared by:

Michael E. Starr

Senior Research Engineer

Department of Engine and Emissions

Research

Reviewed by:

Terry Ulh Terry L. Ullman

Assistant Director of Research

Department of Engine and Emissions

Research

Approved by:

Daniel W. Stewart Director of Research

Department of Engine and Emissions Research